


No. 69 October 1973

Spearhead

10p



**COLOURED
BIRTHRATE
THREATENS
TO SWAMP
BRITAIN**

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Mortgage Madness

Whether or not house mortgage rates do go up to the threatened 14 per-cent, they are already at an intolerable level from the standpoint of the young married couple trying to set up home, and they make an utter mockery of Tory slogans about a 'property-owning democracy'.

The mortgage rates are of course basically a product of a dishonest as well as unworkable financial system. We are told by the building societies that their rates must be increased because of the need to pay out a higher dividend to investors, this in turn being caused by the greater rates being paid by the banks to their depositors, thus attracting investment to the banks and away from the building societies. The banks at the same time can only sustain this higher rate for depositors, so they say, by shoving up their rates to borrowers — despite the fact

that banks have had a record year for profits.

Both rates — building societies and banks — are at the same time affected by the inflationary spiral. As people's money is going to be worth a good deal less next year than this year they must get a return for their investment that is going to allow for that fact and still yield them a worthwhile profit.

Finally, the basic capital cost of a house has doubled in a very short time, due, we are told, mainly to the rocketing demand for land and the decreasing supply.

All these facts make the prospect of house ownership increasingly remote for all except those in the highest income groups.

We regard this situation as a disgrace.

To begin with, it cannot be by any natural law that the price of land for house-building has gone up to the extent that it has.

Population increase and normal economic development over the past 20 years can be expected to make land marginally more scarce, but set against this is the fact that most modern building is multi-storey and enables far more home and office space to be provided from the same acreage than 20 years ago.

Only the fact of rampant and uncontrolled property speculation can explain the absurd rise in land 'values'. It is time to abandon all hope that this can be set right by normal market forces of supply and demand. Legislation must be introduced to eliminate the land speculator and this must be ruthlessly enforced. If we do not wish to go to the socialist extreme of nationalisation of all land, firm controls over land prices is the only answer. An act of parliament should peg the basic price of land and should allow profits on its sale to reflect only the cost of development plus a reasonable investor's margin — no more.

The other need is for all financial institutions, including banks and building societies, to be brought firmly under state control, if not ownership. The only factor governing the price and availability of homes should be, apart from land itself, the readiness of bricks and mortar and the manpower and skills necessary to put them to use.

Perhaps before any of these principles can be put into practice Britain will need political leaders who are freed from the great superstition of modern times — that financial forces must regulate what is physically possible to produce. The reverse is the only true rule of sane economics.

Inexplicable Creed

So much for President Allende's 'democratic' marxist regime in Chile. After a period of almost unprecedented national strife and chaos, accompanied by total economic breakdown, the regime succumbed to an army coup with the President himself committing suicide.

We are thus still waiting, 56 years after the Russian Revolution, for evidence that a communist society can work and survive by other means than police terror and the holding of the populace in chains. As these words are written, Russia is proving by the persecution of her dissenters that these measures are as necessary to the preservation of the communist state as they were in the days of Lenin and Stalin.

Yet there are still tens of thousands of mentally stunted people in the centres of learning of Britain and other parts of the West who anticipate the eventual communist utopia, eagerly welcoming Marx as 'new' although he spewed forth his doctrines a century ago and in doing so said little that wasn't said by the leisured philosopher class of Athens several hundred years B.C.!

The fact that a creed can survive in strength in such circumstances could only be explained by the utter barrenness of established Western alternatives.

Conspiracy Hushed Up?

When US presidential contender George Wallace was shot last year all the leading organs of news and opinion bent over backwards to establish that the guilty assailant was just a lone 'oddball' and no part of any organised political conspiracy. We remained highly sceptical.

Now information has come to light in America which proves conclusively that the would-be assassin, Arthur Bremer, had extensive communist connections and that he was almost certainly working to orders. The evidence comes from Mr. Alan Stang, who carried out an extensive enquiry on behalf of *American Opinion* magazine. The enquiry

S P E A R H E A D

No. 69 OCTOBER 1973

Office: 50 Pawns Road, Croydon, CRO 2QF, Surrey (Tel. 01-684 3730)

Editor: John Tyndall Astd. Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

established first of all that Bremer had been known to have extreme left-wing sympathies while still at school in Milwaukee. He became in due course a member of the American Civil Liberties Union, a red front organisation formed to give legal protection to subversive elements just like our own 'National Council for Civil Liberties'.

Later Bremer was known to have attended Black Power meetings and to have been in close contact with several notorious left-wing leaders in his locality, including representatives of the Trotskyite SDS (Students for a Democratic Society). In July 1971 an FBI agent saw him meet Michael Cullen, the best known of extreme left-wing leaders in Milwaukee, in a bar and obtain instruction from him on the 'Fascist' danger represented by Wallace.

Part of the official verdict on Bremer was that he was mentally backward and had no friends. Stang's evidence dug up many people who knew him well and could testify to the untruth of this assertion. He was known to have many friends and to be of above average intelligence.

Further enquiries established that during April 1972 Bremer was seen at the Milwaukee station of the Chesapeake and Ohio Ferry with another man identified variously as Kushmann, Cuzman, Cousins and Cossini and known to be a contact man for the left-wing terrorist 'Weathermen' organisation. This man was heard giving instructions to Bremer, who was heard replying "I told you, I know what to do."

After Wallace had been shot, it was learned that the FBI, having visited Bremer's apartment, left it unguarded for several hours during which all kinds of souvenir hunters came and removed vital pieces of evidence. This is inconsistent with usual FBI efficiency. It was also known that local police authorities had the case taken out of their hands very early on and were instructed by federal agents not to pursue any further enquiries.

Afterwards, when *American Opinion* had begun enquiries into the case and these enquiries had led them to Kushmann-Cuzman-Cousins-Cossini, the latter was found dead in a parked car over the Canadian border in Toronto. Someone was obviously keen to stop him talking!

What is extraordinary is not that George Wallace was shot by Bremer in Laurel, Maryland, on May 15th 1972 but that no major newspaper or broadcasting network should have been prepared to give publicity to these background facts — or even to pursue themselves any serious enquiry into the case. Is it not strange that anything providing evidence of communist conspiracy should be so completely taboo in the press? Who is covering up for whom, and why?

Our readers who wish to study Mr. Stang's findings in greater detail can obtain particulars in a report published by the Network of Patriotic Letter Writers, Box 2003D, Pasadena, Calif. 91105, U.S.A.



Home Secretary Carr and Education Minister Mrs. Thatcher meet to draw up 'anti-discrimination' laws.

International money order for 10p should cover cost and postage.

Crackpot Thinking

Whenever we have been asked to say whether *Spearhead* is in favour of 'women's lib' we have replied that we cannot give a straight answer of yes or no. Such a subject has many aspects and like many other causes it is neither wholly good nor wholly bad.

Impending legislation on the subject now being prepared by the Government, however, betrays much of the crackpot thinking of the totalitarian left. A law is being planned which will make it illegal for anyone to place an advertisement in a newspaper for a job while naming the sex of the applicant desired.

This is about as helpful a law as that which forbids adverts carrying the words 'coloureds need not apply'; it does a disservice to those very people it is intended to help. Once the law is in force women will go to the trouble of running after jobs which it is never intended that they should have. Employers will still be liable to give jobs to men if it is men that they want, and there is no way out of that problem except to pass further legislation compelling every employer to explain in detail why he gives a job to one person (a man) and not another (a woman). That is a step even further along the road to totalitarianism — without even the one justification that totalitarians claim: that it makes for greater efficiency.

It is extraordinary that this Conservative Government is subject to perhaps the most absurd illusion of modern times — that you can by legislation alter one of the most basic human rights: the right to discriminate. Indeed no legislation can ultimately intrude

upon such a right — unless we are in the nightmare realm of 1984. But perhaps that is indeed where a lot of modern day Conservatives, as well as Socialists, would like to take us.

What Does It All Cost?

The community relations brigade is in ecstasies over what it calls the 'success' of an experiment in Bradford to teach English to Indian and Pakistani children.

The city has set up eight special centres for infants aged 5 and over who speak little, if any, English. Teams of 'dedicated teachers' then put them through a course in English, after which they are supposed to be ready to attend school in the normal way. The teachers in turn have to learn the technique involved in this operation and attend what are called a 'special resources centre' and 'workshops' in the city, where they build up material for lessons. Apparently, the task of teaching a child enough English to make it ready for primary school takes about 18 months.

Bradford is reckoned to have about 8,000 immigrant children out of a total immigrant population of 40,000. Of these children 6,000 are of Asian families. This gives some idea of the dimensions of the operation in that city alone.

If the scheme is put into effect everywhere in the country where Asian children go to school the mind boggles at the size of the undertaking involved and the resultant expense. Isn't this just another example of the attitude that there is not a single problem created by immigration that cannot be solved provided there is sufficient expenditure of the taxpayers' money?

WHAT IS AFOOT IN BRITAIN'S POLICE FORCE?

FUNNY things seem to be afoot in Britain's police force nowadays. In recent years height requirements for police recruits have been considerably lowered, despite the fact that young men in Britain are generally becoming taller with each succeeding generation. This has given rise to the question: is it part of a deliberate campaign to attract more immigrants into the police, since the average height of immigrant races, particularly Asians, is considerably less than that of the native British populace?

That the police force is going flat out to attract more immigrants into its ranks has recently been candidly admitted by the Chief Constable Robert Mark. Mark was appointed to his post not very long ago on the basis of career credentials that are rather obscure as regards any special superior merit over other candidates. What credentials he does have seem to consist mainly of a liberal-progressive outlook on problems of crime and penology. He is, in short, a thorough 'establishment man'.

Now further facts have come to light regarding the policies of the Mark regime. Recently details of a new system of intelligence and general knowledge tests for police applicants were released. After the reader has had a good laugh, he may ponder seriously on what type of new police officer is liable to be let loose on the public if such standards of entry prevail.

Many of the questions would tax the brain of a nine-year-old. One question gives the first and last letter of a word and a clue. The recruit has to fill in the blanks. For example:

'Empty, not occupied. V-----T.'

Gruelling stuff. And general knowledge is tested too. 'Name the capital of the United States' for instance.

Then the aspiring copper also has to spot the two words which are out of place in the following sentence and switch them round: 'General people who have to go into hospital are admitted to most wards.'

For those who fancy their chances, this column is privileged to reveal some of the other questions that may be asked...

Fill in the blanks in this greeting much used in the police force, often followed by the words 'what's all this here?' H---O, H---O, H---O.

Spot the wrong word in the following statement: 'I must warn you that anything you say may be taken down and used in bubblegum against you.'

Which of the following is not a police force: The Fraud Squad, The Drugs Squad, The Flying Squad, Dad's Army?

Name the odd man out: Det. Chief Supt. Wickstead, Police Commissioner Sir Robert Mark, Det. Chief Supt. Barlow, Basil Brush.

If a man rushes past you carrying what appear to be the Crown Jewels, do you say (A) Excuse me, sir, could I have your autograph? (B) You are the Fairy Liquid Man, and I claim my £5. (C) I like your baubles. (D) Stop thief!

What does a policeman wear on his feet, apart from regulation grey socks? Clue: Rhymes with coots.

Name the odd place out: Pentonville, Wormwood Scrubs, Dartmoor,

the Houses of Parliament.

Jack the Ripper was (A) Outside right for Charlton in the year they won the FA Cup. (B) Lead trombonist in the Count Basie Band. (C) One of the royal corgies. (D) A person whom the police were anxious to interview in 1888.

Place these words in the correct order: There along move.

True or false? (A) A cat burglar is a man who steals cats. (B) The Serious Crimes Squad is so called to distinguish it from the Funny Crimes Squad. (C) You can't get nicked if you use an electric razor.

Just as the average height of native British youngsters has increased, so, we are told, have their educational standards, and it would indeed be odd if this were not so with the vastly greater amount of schooling that they get nowadays. Why then have mental standards, as well as physical ones, been so much lowered by police recruiting boards?

Why indeed — other than as a token of Home Office policy to systematically develop a multi-racial police force? What price the chances of increasing the crime detection rate with the new standards that these tests will set? God help the poor suffering British public!

**Pass on
SPEARHEAD
to your friends**

FORMING the backbone of a modern industrial society is an enormous array of sophisticated machinery and equipment. This machinery shapes and processes raw materials extracted from the earth and crops grown on the earth into our food, clothes, homes, cars, lighting, heating, books, television sets, etc..

All these machines have two things in common, they cost money to obtain and they all wear out. Successful productive enterprise demands therefore a large investment of capital in this expensive machinery and plant.

It is not however sufficient to buy the equipment and then forget the cost; it is necessary to set aside out of earnings a sufficient sum to pay for the cost of replacement in due course when it wears out or becomes obsolete. In the present climate of high taxation and inflation it is becoming nearly impossible to do so.

TAXATION PLUS INFLATION DESTROYS PRODUCTION

Take the case of a new company investing £10,000 in machinery which it anticipates will wear out or need replacing in 10 years. It would seem that setting aside from earnings an average sum of £1,000 per year for 10 years would provide £10,000 to purchase a new machine.

Unfortunately, however, with inflation proceeding at the rate of 9 per-cent per year or more, the cost of a replacement in 10 years will be not £10,000 but £23,700.

Obviously allowance must be made for this and prudence suggests that one tenth of this, namely £2,370 per year, be set aside. This is unfortunate because it more than doubles the depreciation charge to be spread among the items produced and the price of each item must be increased accordingly.

TAXATION ON INFLATION

By this means, however, inflation appears to have been coped with at the expense of a higher charge to the customer but at the end of the first year's trading when the accounts are submitted to the Tax Inspector it is immediately made clear that all he can allow for depreciation is the original cost, which if spread over 10 years is an allowance of £1000 per year. The additional £1,370 is treated as profit and taxed accordingly.

Rates of taxation vary but Corporation Tax at 50% takes away half the amount provided to counteract inflation.

The situation was bad enough at first sight but now it is obvious that double the proposed amount must be provided to meet this tax on inflation. The sum now required proves to be £1,000 depreciation plus £1,370 inflation plus £1,370 tax on inflation totalling £3,740!, nearly four times what would

N. MEAR, C. Eng., M.I. Mech.E., M.Inst. Gas E.

The Financial Destruction of British Productive Industry

normally be necessary in a well run economy.

Only producers with an exceptionally profitable product can possibly charge to their customers a depreciation overhead of this magnitude and of course competition with overseas producers not similarly handicapped becomes impossible. Imports grow and our industry withers.

INTO THE HANDS OF FINANCE

If as usually happens, depreciation allowances are made which take no account of inflation, the Company arrives at the end of 10 years with £10,000 in cash and the need to spend £23,700 to replace its worn out equipment.

The usual answer to this dilemma is a loan from the bank, hire purchase or leasing. Some such arrangement is not difficult to make but the Company will no longer own its productive equipment and it faces very high interest charges on the loans. Sooner or later all Companies meet difficult times and these are made enormously more difficult when continuing interest payments must be made from reduced or negative earnings. At such times the risk of insolvency and collapse is considerably increased.

PRODUCERS SUFFER BUT FINANCE PROSPERS

Financial enterprises on the other hand do not suffer from this necessity to continually replace depreciating assets; their assets are in prestige buildings in city centres which increase rather than decrease in value. Their lending business grows as Industry's capital is taxed away, their stock in trade is other people's money, the increase or decrease in value of which does not affect them; it only passes through their hands.

In the present situation, therefore, the scales are weighted heavily against any enterprise making use of depreciating assets which must be regularly replaced. The cost of replacement always exceeds the original cost and taxation takes away a further sum equal to the amount of inflation.

The producer of goods, the foundation

of all our prosperity suffers a double inflation which in the long run will drive him out of business or force him to work nearly entirely for financial interests. The better he equips himself with expensive machinery the worse his final situation could very well be.

BLIND GOVERNMENT DESTROYS OUR INDUSTRY

Successive Governments continuously exhort industry to increase investment in new machinery. They are wasting their breath! Industry cannot invest in the manner it would like; the wherewithal has been taxed away by inefficient governments unable to do simple arithmetic or to see the results of their taxation policies when they stare them in the face.

It is obvious for many reasons that inflation must be brought under control but in the meantime it is essential to protect the finances of our manufacturing industries.

This is not difficult; it is in fact perfectly simple. One possible method is to allow all machinery and plant to be written up in value each year for tax allowance purposes by an amount equal to or more than the present rate of inflation say by 10 per-cent. In this way it would be possible to put by each year a realistic amount for plant replacement which would not be subject to the 'tax on inflation'. The new 100 per-cent allowances against tax in the first year for machines is useless in the long run; all it offers is the same total tax allowance as before but a little earlier.

The present system is essentially a tax on capital, a tax on the most important capital we have — productive capital — and if continued must finally reduce us to a near peasant economy by destroying all that industry, both large and small, which needs high capital investment for its successful operation.

Why, with Governments, both Socialist and Conservative so anxious to assist industrial investment have the scales been weighted for so long and so heavily against investment in productive machinery? Blind dogma in the case of the Socialists and sheer incapability in the case of the Conservatives?

Letter to a Union Boss

The Editor, 'Contact',
Electrical Electronic Telecommunications —
Plumbing Trades Union,
Hayes Court, West Common Road,
Hayes, Bromley, Kent.

Dear Sir,

As an E.E.P.U. (Plumbing Section) shop steward and also as the Honorary Secretary of the Bristol Branch of the National Front, I take great exception to Frank Chapple's editorial slight against the National Front, which was contained in the June edition of *Contact*. Therefore, I would be grateful for space in the next edition in order to redress his subtle smear, which implicates that only the simple minded and credulous section of the electorate supports the National Front, and to put a contrary viewpoint from his rather naive concept of a modern trade union.

FIRSTLY:

The reason why the National Front succeeded in securing nearly 5,000 votes (16%) at the West Bromwich By-election, and why the number of votes cast in favour of National Front candidates standing in local elections throughout the country is increasing every time, is not as Frank Chapple suggests, because the majority of people are looking for 'simple answers' to 'complex problems', but because, as more and more of the electorate see through the 'tinsel' which surrounds our present so-called "democracy" and then compare the really viable alternatives for getting Britain back on her feet again, which are contained in National Front policies, as opposed to the same old dreary prescriptions handed out at election time by the Labour, Liberal and Tory clique, they are finding at last a party which really represents the wishes of the majority of the British people, and which is prepared to make public policy what they have been advocating privately to themselves for years.

SECONDLY:

Mr. Chapple heads his editorial "Examination in Depth" and calls for greater participation between the Unions, Management and the Government as though a panacea for curing the Nation's problems lies only within what Mr. Vic Feather has a habit

of terming 'more open and frank discussion'. While I am all in favour of a closer liaison between management and unions, the fact remains, that the industrial and economic problems which have beset and divided the nation for too long, have not just come about. They were as apparent during the Labour Government's term of office, when presumably the unions had greater influence, as they are now under the Tories. Harold Wilson's appeal to 'save the pound in your pocket, and Edward Heath's promise to 'cut prices at a stroke' serve as excellent examples of contemporary political clap-trap, with both equally to blame for the present inflationary state of the nation's economy. 'Lack of confidence in the pound abroad', 'pressure from the dollar' and 'our present position is due to an international monetary crisis' they whimper. As though they were completely helpless to do anything to improve the position, when all the time they know that the real reason behind the monetary crises is the uncontrolled issue of currency and credit, caused through the irresponsible handling of the nation's wealth by the international Banking fraternity, who have such a rapacious stranglehold over Britain's economy, as well as many other countries. The few exceptions such as Japan have managed so far to escape

In the last issue of 'Contact' I referred to "Complex problems requiring complex answers". The simplest thing is to offer simple answers! Few, if any, people wish to examine problems in depth and this is the most likely reason why 16% of the electors in a recent by-election voted National Front.

Part
of
the
Chapple
article

their clutches and have turned the tables on them. So should we! "Examination in Depth" is what's needed alright. But only by a government which has the courage and initiative to face, and act upon the real problems which cause inflation: the disgraceful business of the 'Unpleasant and unacceptable face of capitalism' which was brought to light through the 'Lonrho Affair' did nothing to make the socialists any less guilty either. I find it hard to accept that the Labour Government, despite their record of ineptitude during their term of office, did

not know of the existence of tax havens such as the Cayman Islands, and why they failed to curb this legitimate but ethically sordid method of tax evasion. And lest we forget, the unemployment figures were creeping up to the million mark long before the Labour Government suffered their last bout of apoplexy, and although now reduced, the number of people still unemployed is far from an acceptable level.

On the Common Market issue, it has been proved that the majority of trade unionists in keeping with 80% of the British public were, and still are against entry into the E.E.C., yet the Labour Party promises only to seek to alter the terms of entry if elected at the next General Election, instead of complying with the majority's wishes, and withdrawing altogether.

THIRDLY:

I have always been given to understand that the function of a trade union was not only to strive for improved wages and conditions for its members, but to also campaign for issues which affect the general welfare of its members and their families' future security, inside and outside their place of work. However during my lifetime, I have witnessed events which have not only seriously endangered the very livelihood prospects, but which have impeded the opportunity for improved housing and social conditions of the working class through the unprecedented admission of nearly three million coloured immigrants into Britain, with hardly a murmur being raised from our union leaders. While it was the Labour Party who first opened the floodgate to immigrants in 1948 with the passing of the British Nationality Act, and despite growing public anxiety as the numbers have increased since this grave political folly was first committed, both successive Labour and Tory governments have done practically nothing to stem the flow.

Also over the past ten years, successive Tory and Labour Governments have promised to combat the law and order problems. Meanwhile, the incidents of murder, armed robbery, assault and vandalism have reached an alarming level, which has kept pace with drug addiction, venereal disease and the increasing availability of pornographic literature. And while many elderly folk dread going out alone for fear of being 'mugged', our children's moral values are being warped by the extensive liberalisation of the classroom and the lure of perversion through the influences of the television and film industry. Frank Chapple states that he is encouraged by the increased status attainment for women! Yet to stand outside most cinemas and bookstalls anyone would think that women's emancipation from male domination has been gained, only to be lost again to the evil clutches of lesbianism, such has the image of the fairer sex been debased

by the film and lurid magazine industry.

FOURTHLY:


Both Labour and Tory Governments have done little to ease the housing and nursery school shortage, while the hospital and welfare services are at near breaking point caused through successive governments' failing to keep pace with the increased demand for new hospitals, schools and welfare facilities, which has been aggravated by the inducement of ever increasing numbers of immigrants into Britain. Here in Bristol we have a hospital for mentally sub-normal patients called Stoke Park, where the shortage of ward space at night is so acute that patients have to practically climb over each other's beds to get to the toilet, and it has long been 'accepted' by the public to wait three hours or more to see a specialist at the out-patients department at most hospitals.

FINALLY:

The real tragedy underlining the progressive social and economic decadence which has seized post-war Britain like a ravenous cancer, is that while all this has been going on, the trade union movement with its once proud tradition of unity of purpose, and with increasing strength and power, has failed through weak and divided leadership to consistently speak out and influence Parliament, regarding the fundamental mistakes made by Labour and Tory Governments, who have erased so much of the British way of life which in former days earned us the world's respect, but which now, through the expedient folly of Labour, Liberal and Tory politicians, not only threatens the very existence of our national identity, but seriously undermines the future well-being of our children's future welfare. Fortunately, with the formation of the National Front seven years ago, we now have a political party in Britain today which seems to have the guts to consistently speak out against this decline, and which holds out great promise for a reversal of this trend, and it is because the National Front holds out hope for Britain's future, that its support, particularly from the working class, will continue to increase.

The British Trade Union Movement has a record second to none for improving the general working conditions of its members which makes me proud to be a member for that reason alone. But I am afraid that the traditional appeals from the Unions to support the Labour Party are now seen as heresy by many members like myself, who now see their combined struggle for improved all-round conditions more realistically attained through a National Front Government.

Yours faithfully,
Bro. Philip Gannaway



Things you should read

A great wealth of literature is now available supporting in the main part the views expressed in Spearhead. Below we list some of the most important examples. Except where stated, these can be obtained from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF. 15p in the £ should be sent with each order to cover postage.

THE MONEY MANUFACTURERS (National Front policy pamphlet) 10p

An exposure of the present financial system and proposals for its reform.

THE CASE FOR ECONOMIC NATIONALISM (National Front policy pamphlet) 10p

An attack on the Manchester school of internationalist economics and an argument for protection and national self-sufficiency.

SIX PRINCIPLES OF BRITISH NATIONALISM (by John Tyndall) 15p

An independent booklet written before the formation of the National Front but closely in line with its outlook.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (by A. K. Chesterton) Paperback £1

Masterly exposure of the politico-financial forces that have destroyed the British Empire and undermined British world power, while working for the general elimination of national sovereignty everywhere.

WORLD REVOLUTION (by Nesta Webster) Paperback £1.80

Perhaps the best ever documented history of the political left and its conspiratorial origins.

SUICIDE OF THE WEST (by James Burnham) £3.00

A devastating demolition of the liberal-left and its main arguments by a one-time left-wing author who woke up.

THE SPECIOUS ORIGINS OF LIBERALISM (by Anthony Ludovici) £1.50

Another clinical analysis of liberal values and viewpoints in which their futility is well exposed.

RACIAL INTEGRATION (by H. B. Isherwood) Card 40p; Hard 75p

A testimony to the impracticality of the multi-racial society.

BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (by Professor W. C. George) 15p

One of the best scientific exposures of the myth of racial equality.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (by Correlli Barnett) £5.

Devastating indictment of liberalism and its role in bringing about Britain's 20th century decline, political, industrial and military. Essential reading for anyone who seeks to reverse British trends in coming decades.

"COLOURED immigrants are no more involved in crime than the rest of the people in Britain."

Who says so? The House of Commons Select Committee on Race Relations.

Its report on police/immigrant relations couldn't be plainer: "Of all the police forces from whom we took evidence not one had found that crime committed by coloured people was proportionally greater than that by the rest of the population. Indeed in some places it was somewhat less."

Obviously the Select Committee is happy, but had it looked more closely at its own evidence, it may have felt some of the doubts that worry many Britons.

For few of the police forces questioned had any statistics. They were giving impressions of the levels of black/white crime — not the facts.

And the lack of solid facts is one reason for unease.

One of the police impressions was that Asians are much less involved in crime than West Indians.

So, if this is true, one does not have to be a logician to work out that if all coloured immigrants together commit as much crime proportionally as whites then West Indians are top of the criminal league.

This conclusion would not surprise the people who have been mugged in the black areas of London.

The British Transport Police do not collect black/white crime statistics either. But a spokesman told me that of all robberies and thefts from the person on the London Underground, he reckoned 50 per-cent were committed by young West Indians. And in the Stockwell-Oval-Kennington-Brixton axis a frightening 75 per-cent of muggings are by blacks.

In 1971 coloured people, who make up 12.5 per-cent of the local population, committed 15.3 per-cent of all serious crimes in Notting Hill but only 6.7 per-cent of minor crimes.

Add serious and minor crimes together and, happily for the Select Committee, immigrants commit only 10.2 per-cent of all crimes.

Notting Hill's experience is confirmed over at Holloway by Chief Superintendent C. B. Mercer.

Asked if blacks committed more violent crimes he said: "In 'N' Division, particularly my own sub-division which is Holloway, I would say that robbery and theft from the person are much more likely. There is a higher ratio of coloured to white."

Yet the division's figures show that in an area where 11.6 per-cent are black, only 6.1 per-cent of all crimes are committed by blacks.

It is not only in the streets and subways, however, that white people fear and receive black violence. If they have a black landlord they may get it in their homes.

The Metropolitan Police Commis-

TONY BEDFORD

Crime, Immigrants and the Press

sioner's report for last year tells of 1090 cases of harassment and/or unlawful eviction reported under section 30 of the Rent Act, 1965.

The Commissioner says: "Only 21 revealed an apparent element of racial antagonism against coloured tenants by white landlords."

But in 47 cases, "there appeared to be a degree of racial hostility against white tenants by coloured landlords."

Drugs are another worry. The Select Committee says coloured immigrants are not "generally more concerned in violence, prostitution and drugs."

Perhaps the Committee did not notice the evidence from the Police College where the course on Race Relations and Immigration has four lecture periods devoted to drugs and where all the lectures are stated to "relate to or impinge on race relations and immigration."

Mr. Peter Brodie, late assistant commissioner at Scotland Yard, could have told them (*The Times*, July 4, 1970) that cannabis is used to pay for pre-arranged illegal entries into this country.

And the Chief Constable of Bradford has stated that his city is Britain's main selling place for the drug — imported direct from Pakistan. One consignment, he told students at Bradford University, weighed 7 cwt.

But these instances, serious as they may be, are not a rounded picture. We still know too little about immigrant crime.

I asked the Metropolitan Police why detailed statistics were not kept and got the stock answer: "They are all citizens. We do not differentiate."

The Home Office, who could call the tune, blamed the piper: "We do not have the machinery to collect statistics. The police collect crime figures."

But even if figures were kept, there would still be problems of validity and interpretation.

For one thing, less than half the crimes known to have been committed are cleared up.

It may be that blacks, a tight community, are less helpful to the police and that less black crime than white leads to an arrest.

Certainly 60 per-cent of West Indians questioned in Brent in May 1971 told the National Opinion Poll that they thought the police "picked on them". Even the Asians, of whom only 15 per-cent questioned thought the police picked on them, can

hardly be expected to be open. For in their own country the police are notoriously brutal.

And we are all aware that the Home Secretary has told the police to soft-pedal on rounding up illegal immigrants for fear of upsetting community relations.

This is the nearest thing to an amnesty. Imagine an order going out: "Do not actively pursue thieves for fear of upsetting police-thief relationships."

But because the problem is not an easy one we should not shirk from tackling it. The truth must out.

The police's hands are tied by the Home Office and the Home Office just doesn't want to know.

The press is under great pressure not to mention racial incidents. Race riots in America are news. But race riots over council house allocations in Toxteth, Liverpool, are skimmed over. On a smaller scale, in my first week on a Bradford newspaper a court report I wrote on a racial gang fight did not appear. The editor, for whom I have great respect, since became a leading community relations figure.

He and many like him respond over-zealously to appeals by bodies such as the Community Relations Commission, whose annual report published last month states:

"Newspaper editors and television and radio management have constantly to reassess whether the tone of a piece is likely to provoke racial tension and whether the racial overtones ascribed to a particular event are in fact all relevant to its real implications."

Sound advice, perhaps, but where a community suspects its Press is not telling the truth, then incalculable harm can be done to race relations.

Some newspapers, especially the *Daily Mail*, try objectively to report not just race but many other current problems. Others, especially the *Sunday Times*, seem to have an Orwellian motto, "Immigrants good; critics bad."

The crux of the matter is this: that neither high Home Office officials nor most newspapermen have to live among immigrants.

Yet the people who do are learning what immigration is coming to mean.

More of them are joining the National Front and it is up to them in their own areas to form study groups to dig out and publicise the facts.

It seems they are the only ones who will do it.

Trotskyite Influence Behind IRA London Bombings

LONDON AND BIRMINGHAM have now started to sample the agony of Belfast, Londonderry and other cities in Northern Ireland. Since the middle of August bombs and incendiary devices have been planted in department stores, shopping precincts, office blocks and railway stations with callous disregard for the lives of ordinary folk. Already several innocent and politically unimportant civilians have been severely injured.

Because of the style of manufacture of the bombs concerned, because telephone warnings (where given) have been issued by persons using Irish accents, and because it is thought that one or two of the bombers who have been spotted are of Irish appearance, the blame for the bombings has been given to the IRA provisionals.

Certainly there is every good reason for believing that the IRA are involved in the bombings and for believing that they have supplied the equipment and the know-how to make the bombs, if not the finished product, but there is also good reason for believing that persons and groups, other than trained IRA terrorists are also involved in the current murderous campaign.

For one thing, when Sean MacStiofain, perhaps the most fanatical of the IRA leaders, was questioned about the London bombing campaign he declared that if the IRA was carrying out the operation "London would already be a smoking ruin." On the basis of the 'achievements' of terrorists under his command in Ulster during recent years this was not a vain comment, and it may be interpreted to mean that supporters of the IRA, other than IRA members, who as yet are amateurs in the matter of bombs and bombing, were immediately responsible.

For another thing, the current bombing campaign started with the placing of crude and ineffective incendiary devices in West End department stores. If the IRA were choosing the targets it is probable that they would direct their energies to placing substantial bombs in or near military installations or Government offices, as exemplified by last year's IRA bomb attack against the Parachute Regiment's mess at Aldershot.

But attacks on smart 'bourgeois' department stores are much more the kind of target to be psychologically favoured by London-based Left Wing extremists of the Angry Brigade type... militant Left Wingers who only see the troubles in Northern Ireland as a tinder-box for revolutionary disruptions throughout the whole of the United Kingdom.

WHY NO "IN DEPTH" STUDIES

With these matters in mind, one has cause to wonder why none of the national newspapers or TV networks which have claimed to have done 'in depth' studies of the current bombing campaign have ignored a report carried in the July 28th issue of the rabidly anti-British weekly newspaper published in Britain for the Irish community called the *Irish Post*.

The report declared that the Sinn Fein Provisionals (which is the self-proclaimed political wing of the Provisional IRA) had established a front organisation for mobilising support for the IRA. This front organisation is based in London and is

called the Anti-Internment Ad Hoc Committee.

Represented on the governing body of the A-IAHC are spokesmen from the following organisations:-

Sinn Fein (Provisionals); Irish Civil Rights Association; the Anti-Internment League; the Belfast Ten Defence Committee; the Northern Ireland Civil Rights Association; Clann na h'Eireann; the United Ireland Association; the Northern Minorities Defence Association; the International Marxist Group; the International Socialists; the Irish Republican Party; and Peoples' Democracy.

The new organisation represents one of the most disturbing and ugly consortia of self-confessed revolutionaries, anarcho-communists, terrorists and anti-British political thugs to be seen for many a long year. How the fact of their coming together to form a united front only a month before the bombing campaign in London and Birmingham started without achieving press comment beggars the imagination.

Even more significant for those who study Left Wing revolutionary groups is the fact that Trotskyite organisations or known Trotskyite front groups comprise the largest single ideological block on the A-IAHC governing body - they even outnumber IRA front organisations.

WHAT ARE TROTSKYITES?

At the stage, and for the benefit of newcomers to the political scene, it is well to give a brief explanation of what "Trotskyites" are. Trotskyites are people who support the ideology of Karl Marx, but who are much more Left Wing in terms of ideas, and much more extreme in terms of tactics, than Moscow-orientated communist parties.

Trotskyites, as the name suggests, are followers of Leon Trotsky (real name Bronstein) one of the main architects of the 1917 Russian Revolution. Trotsky was ultimately driven out of Russia by Stalin because Trotsky wanted to provoke immediate communist revolutions throughout the world, whilst Stalin wanted to consolidate communism in Russia first, before communising the rest of the world by means of armed aggression and subversion.

It was Trotskyite organisations which provoked the riots and strikes which reduced much of France to anarchy and near revolution in 1968, under the inspiration of 'German' Trotskyite student Danny Cohn-Bendit. When the rioting and disturbances began in Northern Ireland three years ago it was reported that numerous Trotskyite revolutionaries from Paris travelled to Belfast and Londonderry in order to train Republican mobs in the arts of street fighting, petrol bomb-making and urban mayhem.

Which are the Trotskyite groups on the governing body of the Anti-Internment Ad Hoc Committee?

1. The International Marxist Group. The leader of the I.M.G. is the notorious Tariq Ali, the Oxford-educated son of one of Pakistan's most rapacious landlords, who also claims to be "a Marxist". The IMG is the British Section of a world-wide Trotskyite network called the Fourth International, which is based in Belgium. Fourth

International groups were heavily involved in the near revolution in Paris in 1968.

The IMG issued a very tongue-in-cheek statement recently in which it said that it did not support and was not involved in the London bombings because it did not feel that they were effective from a "tactical" point of view. The statement went on to say, however, that the IMG supported the right of the Irish people to use physical violence against Britain, and would give "sympathetic consideration" to any appeal from any bomber who approached them seeking refuge.

A prominent member of the IMG - an adult student at a teachers' training college in London - recently boasted that the executive of the IMG have been holding regular conferences with London officials of the Provisional IRA every month for the past year. IMG publications, such as the recently defunct *Red Mole* and the new *Red Weekly*, have given unrelenting support not just to the political intentions of the IRA, but to all their savage acts of terrorism.

So far from being opposed to violence, the IMG has constantly advocated mass acts of violence against patriotic organisations such as the National Front. Indeed, the IMG was the instigator of an organisation called the Ad Hoc Committee Against Racism, to which many of the organisations which support the Anti-Internment Ad Hoc Committee belong, and which was specifically set up to organise acts of mob violence against pro-British demonstrations. (See reports in numerous back issues of *Spearhead* during the past year.)

2. The International Socialists. The leader of the IS is another foreigner, this time an Israeli citizen, who operates under the name of 'Tony Cliff' but whose real name is Ygael Gluckstein. While the IS is not a member of the Fourth International, it works very closely with the IMG, and indeed any other Left Wing group short of members and self-respect, such as the Young Communist League and numerous constituency associations of the Labour Party. The IS also has international links, and was prominently involved in the campaign to allow the German Trotskyite Rudi Deutschke to stay in Britain.

IS publications such as the *Socialist Worker*, like IMG publications, have also printed statements declaring that the current bombing campaign is "tactically wrong". However, the statement is humbug since the IS has given constant support to every action of the IRA over the years, and works closely with IRA front organisations such as Clann na h'Eireann.

So far from opposing violence, the IS has a reputation, even among Left Wing organisations, of being pathologically keen on ultra-violence. The IS also associated itself with the Anti-Racist Ad Hoc Committee, and through its close association with Clann na h'Eireann tried to mobilise the Irish community in Leeds for a mob assault on a National Front march in Huddersfield last March.


The Special Branch of Scotland Yard have obviously good reason to believe that the IS is deeply implicated with the Provisional IRA, for the homes of six leading IS members were dawn-raided by SB officers immediately after the bombing of the Parachute Regiment's mess in Aldershot last year.

3. The Anti-Internment League. The Anti-Internment League is nothing more nor less than an International Socialist front organisation. It is led by IS member John Grey, who is currently on trial charged with conspiracy to cause a riot following violent incidents at Downing Street during an Anti-Internment League demonstration, when Police were viciously assaulted by hundreds of demonstrators wielding banner poles and splintered wood.

Also charged with John Grey is Bowes Egan, a Trotskyite and experienced agitator who helped set in motion the "Civil Rights" campaign in Northern Ireland in 1969/70 which paved the way for the main IRA 'military' campaign.

4. Peoples Democracy. This group was set up in the first instance by Trotskyite students at

Contd. on page 13



FRANK CLIFFORD

DEATH OF A LEA

A. K. CHESTERTON — A photograph taken during his last visit to Cape Town.

BORN at the turn of the century, Arthur Kenneth Chesterton M.C. died on August 16th last in his seventy-fourth year. My first meeting with him was in 1933; and I saw him for the last time — in company with the Chairman of the National Front — as a dying man. Fully aware of his impending fate, he accepted the position with a typically courageous composure and purposeful mental control. He passed away four days later.

The demise of AK — for as such he was known by his many friends — marks the end of a great patriot's life. It can be divided between his service to King and Country by fighting in the two bloodiest world wars recorded in history and, secondly, by the tremendous energy and skill he put into the dangerous — though bloodless — battle he fought against the insidious International money monopoly whose purpose, among others, was the utter destruction of Britain's premier position in the world. During our forty years of friendship I had known the man at close quarters. In consequence I was able to comprehend the power of his written word and, thereby, his leadership which became so impressive that the mass media, in obedience to its ultimate masters, became fearful and began a total and cowardly boycott of everything he wrote or said.

When only sixteen he faked his age by two years which enabled him to join the army in its fight during the 1914–1918 war. He soon found himself in the African continent where, as a private, he fought in the tough expedition that destroyed the Germans in East Africa. That done, he returned to Great Britain, became a commissioned officer and, at nineteen, was a Company Commander and engaged the enemy by an attack on the

alleged impregnable Hindenburg Line. For his bravery in that encounter he was awarded the Military Cross.

The first war over, Chesterton found his way back to South Africa where he led the bayonet attack on and the defeat of the red revolt on the Witwatersrand in Fordburg.

Then followed his exploits during the 1939–1945 war. Though no longer a young man, he was in the vanguard of the fighting which led to the rout of the Italians in Somaliland and Abyssinia. That concluded his military services.

Coming now to Chesterton's fight for British survival, it is necessary to appreciate that he had been a keen student of International affairs since the First World War. Furthermore it should be recalled that he inherited the family tradition, set by his cousin, G. K. Chesterton, and became a writer — a lord of language and a master of prose.

WALL STREET CRASH

It was not surprising, therefore, that between the wars, he held several good journalistic appointments. In the middle of this period there occurred the well organised Wall Street financial crash when unemployment in Britain reached the three million mark. Due to the ensuing distress and the then Labour Government's ineptitude in dealing with it, Oswald Mosley resigned from office and parliament.

Soon Mosley had created the British Union movement which, as part of its programme, launched an attack on the financial institutions which caused the abject poverty in most of Britain and elsewhere. This was right up Chesterton's street, so to say; whereupon he joined Mosley and quickly became one of his three principal aides. He edited the movement's weekly journal *Action*; gave powerful lectures on public speaking, and toured the country giving rousing addresses to packed audiences in which he exhibited a masterful technique in delivery, power, and cold logic. (It was during all these events of 1933 that I met Chesterton for the first time.) Unfortunately the two strong men, Mosley and Chesterton disagreed. They parted and Chesterton returned to

journalism until World War Two called him back to the colours.

Soon after returning to civilian life, Chesterton became Deputy Editor in 1945, of that famous weekly periodical *Truth*. Very soon the Editor — Collin Brooks — appointed him the principal leader writer and accorded him a free hand to interpret events in the light of what he knew to be the disguised master-policies of internationalism. Simultaneously he was editing *London Tidings* and, for five years, wrote a regular international-affairs article for the Journal of the Royal United Services Institution, until the War Office brought pressure to bear to have his articles suspended — in other words, stopped.

All these activities covered a period of more than eight years. There can be no doubt that his work for *Truth* predominated and delighted those who had the wisdom or desire to perceive the seriousness of the internationalists' challenge. But it annoyed and angered the Establishment and all those who stood to profit by the machinations taking place over a large area of the world.

In those circumstances it was pathetic that the owners of *Truth* succumbed to a generous offer to sell the journal to Staples Press, who proceeded immediately to jettison its patriotic policy and substitute it by dull party stuff agreeable to the Conservative Central Office.

At that point the Editor — Collin Brooks — and his deputy, Chesterton resigned. *Truth*, under its new management, lost the support of all its old readers; the title became a misnomer. It died in its ill-fitting role after the publication of a few issues.

Undismayed, Chesterton issued a statement entitled "Truth has been murdered", which was circulated to all those old readers whose names and addresses were available. It contained an invitation for them to join in an endeavour to create a new journal to carry on the national work which had been brought to such an abrupt end.

BIRTH OF CANDOUR

The response to that appeal would have ended in disappointment but for the generous contribution of one thousand pounds by Mr. R. H. Jeffery — a wealthy Englishman residing in Chile. That encouragement made it desirable for Chesterton

DER—A.K. CHESTERTON

to call a meeting to seek other sponsors. After a slow start, the turning point came when Lady Elizabeth Freeman, MBE, led the way by expressing her willingness to sponsor the new paper. Others followed. Thus was *Candour* born for the resumption of the fight to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of international finance.

After the first few issues Mr. Jeffery made another and larger contribution "free from strings". This enabled the number of pages in *Candour* to be doubled. The steady progress that followed was sufficiently reassuring for Chesterton to launch an association to give vocal support to the message projected in *Candour*. Hence the creation of the League of Empire Loyalists. And it was not long before the enthusiastic workers, with considerable panache, were able to achieve wide press coverage through word and deed — all of the events being created by Chesterton.

Further financial aid came from many well wishers but Mr. Jeffery remained the lynch-pin of the continual progress. And so convinced of the ultimate success of Chesterton's powerful editorship he decided to make provision for its future. This took the form of a new will naming A. K. Chesterton as the sole heir to his considerable fortune (over £1m) giving him power to devote the proceeds from his estate for the furtherance of the cause in which he believed so firmly. He was then over eighty years of age and in a poor state of health.

SAD NEWS

But disillusionment followed. Chesterton had not received any acknowledgement of his recent monthly reports. Anxiety prevailed and ultimately Aidan Mackey, one of his colleagues, agreed to travel to Santiago to ascertain the position. He soon learnt that Mr. Jeffery had died a few weeks previously.

This was indeed sad news; but further enquiries revealed that an unbelievable drama had been enacted. Two or three days prior to Mr. Jeffery's death — and while he was lying unconscious, a woman — who alleged she was an illegitimate child of Mr. Jeffery — and her husband caused the dying man to execute a new will in their favour by affixing Mr. Jeffery's thumb print to the document in the presence of an attorney which act, apparently, made the will legal in Chilean

law.

The drama did not end there. The man and woman proceeded to the Bank of Chile and, with the help of Mr. Jeffery's own solicitor, withdrew the Chesterton will from the locked vault, took it away and, as was subsequently alleged, left it on an omnibus and lost it!

There followed costly litigation covering several years financed by Lady Elizabeth Freeman and other supporters including Chesterton. In the Lower Court the case was lost on the grounds that the engrossed Chesterton will could not be produced (although an exact copy was submitted as evidence) which decision was corroborated by the Appeal Court. That was the result of Phase I.

Phase 2 constituted litigation brought by Chesterton against the Bank of Chile for neglect by failing to ensure the safety of the will entrusted to the care of the bank. In the Lower Court the verdict found Chesterton's case proved on all points with the Judge recommending that the plaintiff be awarded full costs. The Bank of Chile appealed with the result that two judges reversed the decision of the Lower Court with one judge supporting it!!! That ended the drama; with many considerably poorer.

BEGGING FOR MONEY

It was a shattering blow to have been cut off entirely from Mr. Jeffery's munificence. The cash from *Candour* sales would not suffice by a large margin and advertisements for the journal were out of the question, since the media would not have any connections with an organisation debunking the world's money-lenders. The only avenue remaining open was that of the subscribers and Chesterton at once made bold to tell them so. Many rallied to the call and from that moment until the death of Chesterton it was the sole source of revenue. The frequent begging for money was abhorrent to A. K. Chesterton and most certainly was a serious addition to the many strains an ailing man was called upon to bear.

Under the new circumstances the question arose as to what course should be adopted in relation to the League of Empire Loyalists. It had never been a political party but an association to awaken the people as to what was happening to their heritage. Bit by bit, it had been surrendered.

It was at this juncture that it seemed desirable to Chesterton that a merger opportunity that had occurred should be examined. The result was that the League amalgamated with the British National Party and became the National Front. Chesterton was unanimously elected its first Chairman.

At first, as often happens, the merger went well. Imperceptibly, an unease developed, due perhaps to the coming together of two somewhat diverse groups which Chesterton found a little trying. If to this was added the basic disadvantage that he — the Chairman — was obliged, for health reasons to winter in Cape Town for six months of each year, it was not altogether surprising that a small group addressed a memorandum to him requesting that he relinquish certain duties associated with his Chairmanship. Chesterton resigned immediately his Chairmanship and membership of the National Front. The event shook the National Front and over a year was required to shake off the effects since when it has advanced steadily and surely receiving, on many occasions, encouragement in the pages of *Candour*.

Undivided attention was now devoted to *Candour*. For over two years its high standard was more than maintained. An editorial titled "Where Pluto Reigns", appearing in the June issue, was no exception. It was, alas, one of the last *Candours* to be published in Chesterton's life-time. Soon ended twenty years of unbroken editorship of the journal he created, surmounted by all the previous work for the cause of "Britain first".

HIS FIGHTING SPIRIT

In this brief account of Chesterton's life and work, certain characteristics became clear beyond doubt. Whether as a soldier, journalist, owner-editor or Chairman of the National Front his fighting spirit predominated and never waned. Determined and hating compromise on matters of principle, he set an example which is almost unknown in public life today.

That he was feared by politicians of all parties and the media cannot be doubted, which is shown by an anecdote related by a friendly M.P. (who died recently): Chesterton was a guest at a dinner given by The Royal United Services Institution. A prominent

Contd. overleaf

SOLUTION TO ULSTER

IT HAS BEEN suggested in this journal that the falling off of Army recruitment is due to the pacifist attitudes inculcated in our youth. Whilst this is undoubtedly one cause, there is another — the situation in Ulster. Heroism in a worthy cause is one thing; suicide is something quite different, and alien to the British people. How many of our potential young soldiers are going to enlist when they know that the Government is going to stand them on a street corner to be shot down like ducks in a shooting gallery? More British troops have been killed in the past few years in Ulster than in any other British engagement since the Second World War, because they are not allowed to take the necessary retaliatory measures.

The Government in its liberal dream-world has ruled out a military solution in Ulster. By continually sending out thousands of troops therefore, to do nothing but stand around, it is itself guilty of the murder of these troops. The restrictions it places upon

the Army such as only being allowed to fire when first fired upon and holding public enquiries when this rule is broken, only allowing it the use of small arms when the enemy has bombs and rockets and freeing the terrorists from the death penalty, inevitably means that more soldiers than I.R.A. members will be killed. What a way to fight a war! No wonder the terrorists are laughing.

The first point our government must understand is that Ulster is part of the United Kingdom, just as Cornwall and East Anglia are, and that when a foreign power, official or otherwise, lays claim to it with force of arms, it must be resisted with all means available. There can be no compromise or 'negotiations' on an issue like that or one day we shall find that we have no country left. A minority could rebel in any part of the country and demand independence, and would do so if the terrorists win Ulster because the international forces that are

backing the I.R.A. are the same forces that are attacking British sovereignty in every other quarter. The Government was quick enough to go to the 'defence' of other countries in the past that were strictly none of our business, yet when it comes to the defence of our own country they consider 'negotiating' and appeasing. All that 'negotiating' can achieve is a compromise, i.e. the surrender of part of our homeland to an invading power.

MAJORITY NOT IRISH

The second point our Government must understand is that the majority of Ulstermen are not Irish. They are of English and Scottish descent and wish to stay British. Government figures make much ado about the supposed lack of democracy in Portugal and Greece etc. yet they are prepared to ignore the democratic wishes of the Ulster majority if possible, in the interests of a 'peaceful settlement', i.e. surrender.

The third point that must be understood is that even if it were desirable, it is impossible to reason with the I.R.A. History should have taught our politicians that they have never been open to reason. If they were capable of reasoning they would have turned their guns on the Dublin Government when it took Eire into the E.E.C. For by this they have lost far more Irish sovereignty than they would gain by taking Ulster. It is only their blind unreasoning hatred of Britain that keeps them battling in the north.

If therefore negotiations are out, both on principle and because of the inability of the I.R.A. to reason, then the only possible solution is a military solution. Once this fundamental truth has been accepted, the battle is half won. Moreover, if we are going to try for a military solution there must be no holds barred. There must be no holding back for fear of creating 'incidents' or martyrs or for fear of pushing the Catholics into the arms of the I.R.A. The army must have all the weapons and scope that it needs as in any real war. We should have learned by now that the I.R.A. does not need any 'provocation' to unleash its terror on innocent citizens. Neither does it need any martyrs for it does not stop short of the crudest lying propaganda in creating all the martyrs it needs. In addition it already has most of the Catholic population in a stranglehold of intimidation. Nothing we do can make these situations any worse than they are now, so we might as well get on with the job and ruthlessly put an end to it. The acceptance of this basic philosophy of all-out war and no compromise with the I.R.A. is the most important step. Once this has

DEATH OF A LEADER — A. K. CHESTERTON

Contd. from previous page

cabinet minister was the main speaker who uttered such trash that Chesterton interrupted and told him so. More than consternation followed when the minister subsequently held consultations with the Attorney General and Solicitor General to ascertain if it was possible to find some cause to bring that man to court. It was found an impossible task and moreover that, in any case, it would be unwise to give him a platform in the witness box!!

That unsolicited recognition of Chesterton's truthful and factual reporting was due to his many contacts, here and abroad, whose information was checked and double checked prior to publication.

That reputation for rigorous presentation of the truth had its effects on all elements of the media. He was never bowled out. But there were times when those of his opponents descended into crude libel — seventeen cases in all — in Great Britain, South Africa and Australia when, in each case, Chesterton prevailed and the media had to pay damages and substantial costs.

There was, perhaps, unexpectedly, a strain of humour in Chesterton's make-up. Nothing seemed to please him more than to play a joke on his rivals which he designed with consummate skill. Thus Austen Brooks who wore a long beard — prior to it becoming fashionable — was directed to hire the clothing and regalia of an archbishop. So attired he presented himself at a Commonwealth Conference and was mistaken for Archbishop Makarios of Cyprus — until he was ejected.

Again a merchant seaman was directed

to attend a mass meeting of the United Nations Association in Trafalgar Square where he very professionally hauled down the United Nations flag and pulled up the Union Jack — only the Press realised the significance of the joke! And yet another of many such acts was performed by the brilliant Leslie Greene who contrived to get a seat on the platform at a Conservative meeting. She actually addressed the meeting until she was ejected. It was the only way to get press coverage for the League of Empire Loyalists.

And so this brilliant and versatile man will not pass our way again. That he will be sadly missed by all his supporters is obvious. But Arthur Kenneth Chesterton has a living memorial in his great and incomparable exposure of power politics *The New Unhappy Lords*, for his written work will endure to influence future generations. As a final tribute, I would like to quote Tennyson's lines on the death of another great patriot.

Yet remember all
He spoke among you, and the man who spoke;
Who never sold the truth to serve the hour.

He leaves a devoted widow.

Note. It is important that the reader should not place a narrow interpretation on the phrase International Finance. This is because the disasters that have flowed from it include, for example, Britain's entry into the Common Market and the coloured invasion of these islands which were dealt with repeatedly by Chesterton and about ten years before any other writer woke up to the dangers.

Mr. Clifford is the current Deputy Chairman of the National Front and prospective parliamentary candidate for Lewes constituency.

been taken, the actual military steps would be ludicrously simple.

First and foremost would be the sealing of the border with barbed wire, gun turrets and minefields, and the patrol of the coast with gunboats. This would prevent the I.R.A. from receiving any further supplies and prevent their escape after acts of terrorism. If Russia can do this across a whole continent, we can surely do it around Ulster. If either the Government or citizens of Eire were found to be aiding the terrorists in any way, all trade would be ended with that country. This would so damage the Irish economy that the Dublin Government would be forced to declare a genuine all-out war on the I.R.A. As it is, the present Irish Government seems to be doing more to curb the I.R.A. than the British Government so such measures may not be necessary.

DEATH PENALTY

The next step would consist of passing legislation to bring the death penalty to all terrorists and persons found harbouring or aiding them. Rather than pushing Catholics into the I.R.A. this would persuade most of them to remain neutral, leaving only a small hardcore of terrorists to be dealt with. To root these out, instead of using soldiers as live baits as at present, a large plain-clothed and armed police force would be trained and merged with the population. Again, if Russia can keep the peace with 200 million potential dissidents in this way, we can surely do the same with a few hundred terrorists in Ulster. The only difference would be that whereas Russia uses these methods to enslave the entire civil population, we would use them to clamp down on a handful of alien terrorists.

To avoid the present fiasco of frightened juries failing to convict terrorists, the power of conviction must go to a panel of judges. In other words trial by jury would have to be suspended during the operation of the programme, which if these methods were adopted would last no more than a few months. Britain was able to clear all the Malayan jungles of thousands of Communist terrorists after the war. Given the same will there is no reason why she should not do the same far more easily in Ulster. Already Army intelligence and civil police officers know who most of the terrorists are but cannot catch them, either because it is too easy for them to escape over the border, because juries are too frightened to convict them or because sympathisers can harbour them with impunity. On the rare occasions when they are convicted or interned, they are given the honourable status of 'political prisoner' and put in a holiday camp to become 'martyrs' until such time as Mr. Whitelaw decides to try a little more appeasement by releasing them. Far from being a deterrent, such methods are a positive inducement for would-be young 'heroes' to join

the I.R.A. Even if all internees have not been found guilty of atrocities, simply belonging to the I.R.A. constitutes the aiding and abetting of terrorism and should therefore render one liable to the death penalty.

The methods we suggest are definitely not the best way to run a legal system in peace time, but this is war and in all wars enemy spies, traitors and plain-clothed terrorists are rooted out and disposed of in this way. In case critics are tempted to use the words 'Gestapo' or 'fascism' in referring to such methods, they should remember that

these are the methods that British forces have used in every war and counter insurgency operation prior to the Ulster problem and they are the only methods that have been found successful. It is surely better to adopt such methods of cure for a short period than to allow the enemy to continue maiming and killing hundreds of innocent citizens every year, with no end in sight. The fact that the Government has seen fit to use such methods in all other wars, yet declines to use them in Ulster, raises some very serious questions about their intentions with regard to the survival of Britain.

TROTSKYITE INFLUENCE BEHIND IRA BOMBINGS *Contd. from page 9*

Belfast's Queen's University. Its main inspirator and best known figure is the Trotskyite MP for the Bogside, Londonderry, Bernadette Devlin. Bernadette Devlin's father was an IRA gangster, who, one gathers from her autobiography, went on bombing expeditions to England.

Devlin is a very close associate of the International Socialists, so that the question of her membership of that group is merely academic. She has frequently taken a prominent part in IS organised demonstrations, and regularly appears in IS publications.

PROVOCATIVE MARCH GLORIFIED

Another associate of Peoples Democracy is Bowes Egan. Egan and a man called Vincent McCormack were joint authors of a book called *Burntollit* which glorified a provocative "Civil Rights" march in Northern Ireland which took place in January, 1969. Devlin, Egan and McCormack were all Queen's University students, and Devlin and McCormack studied together in that University's Department of Psychology.

In view of the established violent record of these organisations, in view of the activities of their international associates, in view of their long standing connection with and support for the Provisional IRA it must surely be considered more than merely significant that the series of bombings in London commenced only one month after they and the IRA came together to form the Anti-Internment Ad Hoc Committee.

Surely it is not insignificant that the bombs initially used in the bombing campaign were small-scale, crude and amateur devices of the sort likely to be employed by persons new to bombing... a description which does not fit IRA operatives but which would surely fit IRA supporters living in London?

Surely it is not insignificant that the initial targets selected by the London bombers were the sort of targets much more 'dear to the heart' of communist revolutionaries than IRA men - departmental stores, the Stock Exchange, etc.? The IRA car-bomb offensive in London in March of this year - held on the date of the Ulster referendum - indicated quite clearly what the priority targets of that organisation were: the Army Recruiting Office in Whitehall, Scotland Yard and the Old Bailey. Likewise the size and type of bomb employed indicates the difference between a campaign carried out by IRA operatives and other elements.

There is every good reason to believe therefore that the current series of bombings in London has come about as a result of collaboration between the IRA and its various Trotskyite supporters in London and other parts of the United Kingdom. It may even have been that Trotskyite fanatics in London initiated the present series of bombings simply in order to stampede the IRA into taking the decision to extend its campaign on a permanent

basis, by showing that while things may now be difficult for bombers in Northern Ireland, London and Birmingham are 'soft' targets.

BANNING IRA NOT ENOUGH

Of late there has been some good sense talked by some MP's concerning the urgent necessity to ban the IRA and Sinn Féin in the United Kingdom, and to impose greater controls on Eire citizens living in or visiting England, Scotland and Wales. These steps, even if they are taken, will be quite ineffective unless similar action is taken to suppress the activities of the international Trotskyite-communist revolutionary network which is clearly bent on spreading the conflagration in Northern Ireland throughout the whole of Britain.

It should be remembered that these same revolutionary groups are now going all out to extend their influence among the members of the Coloured Immigrant community in Britain, and are infiltrating the various Black Power and Black Panther groups which regularly engage in "Civil Rights" riots against the Police in Immigrant inundated areas such as South London and Liverpool. The writing is on the wall. But the Edward Heaths, the Harold Wilsons and the Jeremy Thorpes of this world have already proven themselves too weak, too indecisive and too cowardly to take the appropriate action.

Thus in the long run it will be seen that there is only one force which has the will to protect the British people from chaos and anarchy: the National Front. The future of destruction, rioting, conflagrations and social collapse which will undoubtedly be the lot of the British nation within the next decade may prove to be the necessary agonies of a national awakening - the birth pains of a nation being reborn.

BUY A TIE!

National Front ties now available. Red, white and blue colour scheme with NF emblem.

Price: one only £1.43 (inc. VAT)
10-19 £1.32 each (inc. VAT)
20 or over £1.10 each (inc. VAT)

Apply to: Mr. R. Davison, 59 Crowther Rd., Wolverhampton, Staffs.

Name

Address

The Con-Men of Conservatism

DESPITE its reputedly patriotic image it has been the Conservative Party, rather than the avowedly internationalist Labour Party, that has played the major part in Britain's post-war decline.

Whatever one's views on the desirability of the Empire it is undoubtedly true that it was principally destroyed by men of the very party that posed as imperialist. With the exception of India, most of our overseas territories were relinquished to barbarism during Tory administrations, and in accordance with the changing interests of the ruling establishment as it was infiltrated by and incorporated into the international financial system in both its broadest and most particular meanings.

The Tories were of course directly engaged in puffing up the very *Winds of Change* they pretended to be running before. On the death of Ian Macleod, Harold Wilson commented that: "He showed his courage combined with his ineradicable liberalism on matters of race and human equality in the significant contribution he made to decolonisation and his work in bringing one ex-colony after another to nationhood and sovereignty." The fact that these so-called 'ex-colonies' are neither nations nor sovereign has been amply demonstrated by such writers as Ivor Benson.

Probably the most reprehensible aspect of this whole sorry story was the betrayal of ex-patriate British communities such as the one in Kenya. Readers need hardly be reminded of the way in which the present Tory Government is harassing the Rhodesians.

Britain's entry into the Common Market is the greatest single step towards sublimating us to a One World Communist slave state yet suffered in the course of British history. It has been undertaken by a Tory Government with the Labour Party officially opposing it, if only nominally.

IMMIGRANT FLOOD

Although the Labour Party passed the 1948 British Nationality Act, it was during the thirteen years of Tory betrayal that the vast majority of coloured immigrants flooded in. Whereas the last Labour administration was moved by the pressure of public outrage to stem, or at least to hide, Asiatic immigration from the East African state of Kenya,

the Tories have openly admitted that from the East African state of Uganda.

It was the 'Conservative and Unionist' Party which suspended the democratically elected Parliament of Ulster and replaced it by the dictatorship of an unelected commission. The Labour Party had never dared to impose this foretaste of rule under the E.E.C. Though conciliatory to those who want to overthrow British supremacy in Ulster, Whitelaw is intolerant of browbeaten Loyalists. His main aim seems to be to resign everybody to the continuing fate of indiscriminate bombing, murder and terrorism.

The introduction of proportional representation was done in the hope that a caretaker government of the middle-class 'peace at any price' Alliance Party and the so-called Social Democratic and Labour Party (really composed of Republicans and Marxists) could take over as a prerequisite for handing Ulster over to the South.

In October 1972, Edward Heath produced an economic package that was hailed by Nicholas Kaldor as being more truly 'Socialist' than anything attempted under Labour. The way in which workers' wages have been held down by statutory control while prices have shot up, is as interesting an example of what Kaldor sees as true 'Socialism' as it is an example of what Heath thinks compatible with 'free enterprise'. The explanation lies in the fact that what Heath and Kaldor both want is not necessarily 'Capitalism' or 'Socialism' but simply monopoly.

HOUSE OF LORDS

I hold no particular brief for the House of Lords, but it is noteworthy that the move to overthrow the exclusive hereditary nature of this assembly of conservative Conservatives was undertaken by none other than a Conservative Government with the Life Peerage Act of 1958. This has opened the gate for the wholesale infiltration of 'liberals' and blacks that would never be elected by the people. This success in Lords reform was not matched by Labour who were forced to drop their Bill.

How was it that the very party that claimed to be conservative and patriotic has done the most to overthrow its own

traditions? The answer is fairly obvious.

It was simply because the Conservative Party waved the Union Jack that it escaped censure. They could always claim that they were acting in the 'national' interest. Exactly the same ruse was used to justify social oppression — thus giving many working men a hatred of their own country and the Irish and Welsh a hatred of the English. All in all, the English ruling class has a lot of people to answer to, not least ourselves.

Even though the red, white and blue has been openly discarded in favour of some sickly yellow stars on a blue background, the old myths are still employed. Seemingly patriotic slogans are ingeniously, if rather thinly, used to fit the current demands of the drive to internationalism. The Tory ideal of 'One Nation', for example, really means one nation irrespective of race, colour, culture or creed. It is calculated to make us acquiesce in the reduction of Britain to a mongrelized slag heap. Again, we are going into Europe to dominate it.

DEAFENING SILENCE

This superficial stance renders it impossible for many good ordinary honest people to think that the Conservative Party is anything but patriotic. The same happens in Parliament. When internationalist postures are taken up by the Labour Party the backbench Tory 'Right' sees them for what they are and denounces them with the full support of the frontbench. When the very same attitudes are taken up by Tory ministers, however, they are met by a rather peculiar kind of deafening silence. Any Tory M.P.s still left with a twinge of a critical faculty for independent judgement may shift a little uneasily in their seats but that is all. They then settle down again and go back to sleep.

I believe that many patriots continue to vote Tory not for any positive reasons, but because they are afraid of what they imagine by the term 'Socialism'. In light of the Tory record itself that is an absolutely absurd position to hold.

Equally absurd is the position of other patriotic people who vote Labour — not because they have some belief in 'Socialism' (whatever that may mean) but because they mistrust Big Business.

The truth of the matter is that the real threat to our British way of life comes neither from 'Socialism' nor from Big Business as such, but from a combination of the two. At the real apex of world power the forces of collectivism and monopoly capitalism are one and the same. 'Socialism' is a mere tool of International Finance in its quest for a World economic and political monopoly.

The Conservative Party is not more or less dedicated to paving the way for domination by the international power clique — it is simply more successful.

J. LEE-POTTER, M.A.

RACE-A GROWING CANADIAN PROBLEM

ACCORDING to Benjamin Disraeli, "the nation that ignores race does so at its peril." Unfortunately that is true in the Dominion of Canada today. The immigration policy of the Trudeau ministry has rightly been termed 'the most wide-open immigration policy in the world.' According to Jean Marchand, former Minister of Immigration, 33 per cent of the immigrants to the Dominion in the period 1966-1971 were non-white. This augurs ill for the future, considering the racial strife in the U.S.A. and the heavy concentration of non-European immigrants in major British cities.

The *Toronto Daily Star*, a vociferous advocate of republicanism, on 22nd March stated that 'a massive shift now under way in federal immigration policy will bring a higher number of non-white immigrants to Canada in the next few years, the parliamentary secretary to Immigration Minister Robert Andras said last night. He said that the government will open several new immigration offices in Africa and Asia this year and next.'

Recently Mr. Andras observed that "India, for instance, could become the number one source of immigrants in a few years." The Minister of Immigration is a self-described supporter of a multi-racial society. He said that a new immigration office would also be opened in South Korea.

The cabinet minister said: "I'd like to hear what the Canadian people have to say." Such a statement is most ironic, considering that the electorate has never been consulted on the question of non-white immigration. Many would call it another example of the arrogance of the Trudeau administration.

In 1961 there were, according to the Dominion Bureau of Statistics, 35,000 blacks in the entire country; today there is well over double that number in Toronto alone. More and more non-whites are in evidence in major Canadian cities.

Do the people of Canada approve of a suicidal policy of non-white immigration considering what has happened elsewhere? Their voice is yet to be heard. But make no mistake; in time it will be heard to the farthest reaches of the land.

A deliberate policy of encouraging non-white immigration is objectionable on several grounds; first, backward countries are deprived of some of their talented people; secondly, throughout history integration has failed; thirdly, with high unemployment throughout the Dominion, the encouragement of non-white immigration

is absurd. In addition, the distinguished Spanish scholar, Don Salvador de Madariaga, has noted "the right of any nation to regulate its demographic composition should be considered as sacred by any liberal-minded man."

The Dominion of Canada is a white country. According to the 1961 Census (1971 totals not available at present), 43.8 per cent of the population is of British descent (English, Scottish, Irish, Welsh etc.), 30.4 per cent French and 25.8 per cent of other nationalities (the main ones being German, Ukrainian, Italian). Considering the great cultural diversity of the country and the precarious nature of national unity, it would be foolhardy to add a non-white element.

The sickly smokescreen of lily-white liberal propaganda has obscured the subject of race for too long. The subject of racial differences has been studied by such scholars as H. B. Isherwood, Dr. Arthur Jensen, Dr. Henry Garrett, and Professor Robert Gayre of Gayre, who have provided specific evidence with which to confound critics.

In the past, the Dominion had the

'White Canada Policy', which resolutely excluded all non-whites. When it was in effect, record numbers of immigrants came to Canada. Today there is crashing Anglophobia in Ottawa, a growing non-white population, and the threat to freedom implicit in the menace of republicanism.

Unless something is done to curtail non-white immigration and preserve the European character of the Canadian population, the future of this Dominion is in peril. A Canadian Enoch Powell will arise who will demand strict measures to keep Canada white.

Concede....

Concede, my friend, concede.
Concede and live to concede another day.
Concede now so that strangers may,
With love in their hearts
(For each other, that is, not you.)
Destroy your evil thought.
(It being now evil to protect one's own.)
Cherish, instead, what you are taught:
'The meek shall inherit'
Says the Judas goat.
'Raise the portcullis. Fill in the moat;
Let a thousand lotus buds of wisdom grow.'
So that when history asks,
'Where went Freedom?'
The goat can reply,
'She followed me, happy, to her grave,
Where she lays with her spouse, John Bull,
Six feet - nay - two metres below.'

'DRUMMER'

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

IF OVERSEAS, SEALED OR UNSEALED

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF

RATES (12 issues):

British Isles: £1.50p

British Commonwealth: £1.50p unsealed
£1.80p sealed

Foreign: £1.50p unsealed; U.S.A., \$4.50 unsealed
£2.30p sealed \$6.75 sealed

Discounts can be obtained
for bulk purchases as follows:-

20-49 copies: 30 per-cent
50-99 copies: 40 per-cent
100-249 copies: 50 per-cent
250 copies and over: 60 per-cent

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to:
50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF, Surrey.



SIR: Having noted your *Spearhead*, with its lying words – “Party First; Country Second” – I have no wish for any more of your literature; seeing that the Tory Party is the only one which has any hope of protecting this country from the perils of socialism.

SIR JOHN CRASTER
Craster, Northumberland

SIR: In the June issue of *Spearhead* there was an article by R. F. Barcock on how to increase NF membership by canvassing. Our group decided to adopt this method for a small scale canvass in High Wycombe, and although it is too early to speculate on the results, we made one observation that your readers may find interesting. We found that most of the people who originally answered “yes” to the question “Are you satisfied with the present Government?”, turned out to be the strongest NF supporters on further questioning about the Common Market, Immigration etc. Such people are probably a little suspicious at first, thinking perhaps that we are spies from the Race Relations Board or something. They need drawing out. They are frightened to commit themselves.

If other groups or branches are thinking of trying this method, we urge that they resist the temptation to close the canvass just because the customer at first says that he is satisfied with things as they are. You may be throwing away some of the most promising material.

A. P. CONIAM
Beaconsfield, Bucks.

SIR: I see from recent newspaper reports that *Izvestia*, the Russian paper, has been calling for an improvement in Anglo-Soviet relations. Such calls, despite the welcome they receive from the liberal papers in Britain, should be treated with caution.

A study of Russia's past relations with other countries will show that she rarely has friendly contacts with those countries without pursuing an ulterior motive. It was so when Britain found it necessary to expel 105 Soviet diplomats. Perhaps even worse is the ability of the U.S.S.R. to smile in a

country's face, and then to kick that country in the stomach when least expected – witness in Hungary in 1956, or in Czechoslovakia five years ago, for example.

Even now, with the U.S.S.R. dependent on Western technology and U.S. grain for survival, they can twist the improved state of East-West relations to their favour. They can, now, to a certain extent influence the way in which Western governments work. Herr Willy Brandt, for example, under Communist pressure has agreed to prosecute those who are responsible for helping people to escape from the East to the West.

When all this is taken into consideration when we look at the call made by *Izvestia*, a clearer picture emerges. With Britain “tied up” as the other Western powers are “tied up”, the U.S.S.R. would no longer have cool diplomatic relations with any major power in the West. She could then devote all her energies to her cold war with China. She could expect more technological aid and advice from Britain – all in all Britain is in danger of becoming a prop for a Communist State. If that does occur – and it might with governments in Britain dedicated to co-operation with the U.S.S.R. – then it will not only be a sad day for Britain but also for the whole of the West.

L. HODGKINSON
Middleton, Manchester

SIR: “Peter Simple” of the *Daily Telegraph*, one of the very few non-liberals whose comments are published, wrote on 24th August:—

“Do you remember the tremendous outburst of moral indignation which arose when a Rhodesian journalist, Peter Niesewand, was arrested and imprisoned in Rhodesia earlier this year, and how he was shortly afterwards released and arrived in England to a hero's welcome?”

“More than a year ago another journalist, or rather cameraman, Mr. Percy Cleaver, who unlike Mr. Niesewand is a

British subject, though living in South Africa, was arrested in Tanzania on a charge of espionage.

“Mr. Cleaver has just been tried, mainly in secret, and sentenced to three years' imprisonment.

“Now have a look at the British newspapers and at the television news programmes. Unless I am much mistaken you will find little trace, if any, of moral indignation or even of mild protest, official or unofficial. WHY?”

The youth Niesewand was one of those permitted to attend a news conference given by Mr. Ian Smith, Prime Minister of Rhodesia.

Having heard his brash insolence to Mr. Smith while under the protection of the press, his pitiful whinings during his brief incarceration were to be expected as being characteristic of a certain type of individual.

Also foreseeable was the reaction of the BBC: as James Barlow remarked (in *Goodbye England*), “In England's liberal society there is free speech – if you happen to be a liberal.”

JOHN VIGOUR,
Dorchester, Dorset

SIR: It is with great shock and considerable sorrow that I learned, from a colleague, of the death of Mr. A. K. Chesterton. In my esteem we, and Great Britain, have lost the greatest Englishman of our times. To me he was the perfect “Englishman” and it is a bitter blow that he should leave us just when Nationalism in this country seems, at last, to be fairly stable on its own two feet. With his founding of the National Front he may well go down in history as the man who saved the British race from destruction. His *Candour* will be very sadly missed in my home, and no doubt in many, many others too.

M. B. DONNELLY
Stockport, Cheshire

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th of the previous month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Bucks Free Press*.

Debt to ‘The Few’

SIR HAROLD PEAKE has appropriately drawn our attention to the debt that we owe to “The Few” and I feel sure that all who pay tribute to their deeds of valour will respond generously to the appeal.

The sovereign independence of Britain for which the “Few” fought and died has been recently cast away by the Heath Government. Never in the

annals of British history has such valour been betrayed by so despicable a Government.

The British electorate gave no mandate in the 1970 election or at any other time for a government to surrender legislative powers over the British people to unelected bodies in Brussels or anywhere else. The National Front is firmly loyal to the Institution of the British Crown and is pledged to strive for the withdrawal of Britain from the E.E.C. As a party of patriotism believing that the values of

patriotism are essential in maintaining the cohesion and morale of the nation the National Front repudiates the Treaty of Rome since that treaty was signed as a betrayal of election promises and therefore has no mandate from the British people.

D. Howard-Smith
(Secretary,
High Wycombe &
Beaconsfield
National Front)

10 Fairfields,
Great Kingshill.

Trouble shooting

Arrogant Bigot

More than 80 Arab immigrant workers walked out of a Birmingham factory recently because two of their number had been asked to assist in cleaning the lavatories at the engineering works at which they were employed. They declared that cleaning lavatories was "beneath" them and "contrary to their religion" and implied that all the lavatories, including those which they used should either be cleaned by the White workers at the factory, or that a special low caste of Arabs known as Khadam should be specially imported to perform menial tasks for them.

Mr. S. A. Abdi, the Arabs welfare officer, supported their claim and declared: "Lavatory cleaning is beneath our race. If these men had allowed themselves to be bullied into cleaning the toilets, it would have been the equivalent of a terrible sin. In our country we have a special caste of servant, the Khadam, who clean lavatories."

The two Arabs who refused to obey the instruction to assist with the lavatory cleaning have been sacked. This decision was endorsed by Mr. James Grinrod, convenor of the works union committee. He said: "The management warning to these two men was endorsed by a majority of the works committee, who are all A.E.U. members. We are not having people refusing this sort of work on 'religious' grounds."

Already the Birmingham Branch of the Race Relations industry is preparing to take up the cause of the Arabs in this dispute. The fact that the Arabs' position is essentially racist and discriminatory does not concern these ethno-masochists, of course. Race Relations Industry operatives will lose no opportunity to provide Coloured Immigrants with special privileges and also — as this case graphically demonstrates — to rub the faces of White people in the mire.

There are two lessons to be learned from this case, one for the White workers and one for employers. White workers will only be able to prevent being made the servants of Coloured Immigrants if they make sure that all their union representatives are patriotic White men. Employers now have a valid reason for keeping Arabs and other Muslim immigrants out of their employ by indicating to such applicants that lavatory cleaning may well be a part of their duties. The Koran may well exempt Arabs from lavatory cleaning, but the Race Relations Act does not.

Finally some questions need to be asked: exactly on what basis were Arabs allowed to settle in Britain? Which part of

the Commonwealth have they come from? How many Arabs are there in Britain? How many are coming in each month, and by whose authority?

Asian Birthrate Menace

Whatever became of the 1971 Census which was launched with so much ballyhoo? With the sophisticated computers at the disposal of the Government we should by now have been deluged with all manner of statistical analysis concerning Britain's population, but we have not.

The reason for the sparing reports is because so much of the information is of such a horrifying nature that it has become political dynamite which the Government — and particularly the Race Relations Industry — has been anxious to play down. The editors of the national press have co-operated with this hush-up operation.

One startling fact, which was mentioned by the bye in the 'quality' press such as *The Times* on 20th July but, so far as I can tell, was suppressed in the 'popular' papers, is as follows:—

While every 1,000 white British women aged between 16 and 45 years of age produce only 85 babies per year, every 1,000 Asian Immigrant women in the same age group produce 200 babies a year! The West Indian birth-rate is alleged to be falling, but even so every 1,000 West Indian women in the same age group produce 115 babies per year.

There is no chance of the Asian birthrate "levelling out" as the West Indian birthrate appears to have done, as it is an indelible part of the cultures of the Indian sub-Continent to encourage a very high degree of fecundity. Nowhere in the world where Indians have settled have they controlled their birthrate, even after achieving a high standard of living. On the contrary within two or three generations host communities where Indians have settled are confronted with a challenge for the possession of their own native land.

Even if all Coloured Immigration were to cease tomorrow, the Asian population in Britain is such a size that it is only a matter of time before Indian and Pakistani "settlers" become colonists. But Coloured Immigration, so far from being stopped, is on the increase.

Blatant Discrimination

In recent weeks *Spearhead* has been getting a steady stream of information concerning the various means the Government

and local authorities are giving the Asian invaders preference treatment in such matters as housing and welfare. One of the most outrageous examples was a special circular issued to the managers of Social Security offices about two months ago. The circular was marked "confidential" and the directions to managers on it were that the circular should be read to but not given to social security staff (presumably for fear that copies would get into the "wrong hands" — i.e. ours).

The circular was full of sob-stuff about the "plight of the Ugandan Asians in Britain" and how even though they have already been given much necessary help, and even though many had been able to set up businesses and get homes quickly, "there are many whose period of need is far from over" and that Social Security staff should be prepared to be "particularly sympathetic" in regard to claims from Ugandan Asians. No such circular has ever been issued in respect of deprived sections of the British White community, such as our Old Folk. Standard 'codes' of payment exist to serve their needs, and that is that.

From the general to the particular, the most outrageous example of racial discrimination against British White people and in favour of Asians in the matter of housing was given by Bournemouth Council last August. It has been revealed that an Asian family, which already had a Social Security subsidised flat, and which in addition had a total net income from Government hand-out sources of £45 per week, was given a large house in a smart residential area by the Council. The rental on the property is heavily subsidised by local ratepayers.

The regulations which insist that families have to be resident in the area for more than five years was waived in their case, despite the fact that they already had a home, and despite the fact that more than 1,200 families in desperate need of a home had a prior claim.

Objectors to this blatant act of discrimination against White families have been described as "racialists"!

**This column
welcomes cuttings
from its readers**

NATIONAL FRONT T.U. ORGANISATION PLANNED

The initial plans for the setting up of an organisation to promote the National Front through the trade unions were discussed at a meeting at Conway Hall, London, on September 15th.

In attendance were NF members from several unions. Views were exchanged on organisation and tactics, and it was agreed that one of the first thrusts of NF trade union work will be a literature drive aimed specifically at that field.

Further preliminary meetings will be

held for NF trade unionists in the Midlands and North of England very shortly. After these meetings a proper trade unionist organisation for the NF will be formed, with officials appointed. Would any NF members who are members of trade unions who did not get a chance to attend the London meeting but who wish to keep in touch with this project please notify NF Headquarters, addressing their letters for the attention of the NF trade union group.

READ SPARK

Spark is the National Front paper for students. It is published each university term by the National Front Students' Association. Get *Spark* into your local university, college or school. Copies may be obtained at 2p each or 1p each for quantities of 50 or over, with a charge of 20p in the £ to cover postage, from: NFSA, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, CRO 2QF.

WANTED

Second-hand books and pamphlets on International Finance etc. Offers to:—

Richard Lawson
c/o 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey
CRO 2QF

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views Letter

founded by

A.K. Chesterton

Published by Candour Publishing Co.
Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants.

SHOW THE FLAG

UNION JACKS
ST. GEORGES CROSS
ST. ANDREWS CROSS
WELSH DRAGON
NF ELECTION ROSETTES

W. Brown
20 Sutton Way, Heston, Middx.
TW5 0JA

NF Directorate for 1973-74

The official count of the first ever postal ballot for the National Front National Directorate was held on Saturday, September 15th. The results of the ballot are that the following were elected to serve on the Directorate for the year 1973-74 (number of votes in bold type):—

Mrs. B. Brakes (Leicester) 539; Mr. G. Brown (National HQ) 706; Mr. F. Clifford (Sussex) 646; Mr. J. Fairhurst (Hayes, Middx.) 535; Mr. R. Franklin (Harrow) 437; Mr. R. Lawson (NF Students Assn.) 646; Mr. J. Kingsley Read (Blackburn) 745; Mr. M. Skeggs (Greenwich) 460; Mr. J. Tyndall (National HQ) 848; Mr. A. Webber (Chelsea & Fulham) 431; Mr. M. Webster (National HQ) 850.

Also sitting on the Directorate for the coming year, having not been required to seek re-election this year, are:—

Mr. E. Arthurton (Lewisham & Southwark); Mr. W. Barton (Manchester); Mrs. R. Buckley (Huddersfield); Mr. C. Lane (National HQ/Treasurer); Mr. G. Manning (Bristol); Mr. B. Wyndham (Camden & Brent).

JOHN TYNDALL TO TOUR NORTH

National Front Chairman John Tyndall will be making a short speaking tour of the North of England later this month. He will be addressing meetings in the evenings in the following areas:—

Tuesday, Oct. 23rd	Leeds
Wednesday, Oct 24th	Harrogate
Thursday Oct. 25th	Tyneside
Friday, Oct. 26th	Liverpool
Saturday, Oct. 27th	Manchester

For exact venues and times all those interested should contact local organisers as listed on page 20.

ANTI-COMMON MARKET CAR STICKER CAMPAIGN

Albion Press, the publishers of *Spearhead*, have in collaboration with the National Front started a big nation-wide car-sticker campaign against the Common Market. An attractive red, white and blue sticker, featuring a Union Jack and the words "Get Britain out of the Common Market" has been produced. In order to obtain the widest possible circulation throughout the country and to minimise the possibility of car windows being smashed, the NF name has not been printed on the sticker, but only the name and address in small type of Albion Press, the suppliers, so that people seeing the sticker will know where to apply for copies themselves. Indirectly the National Front will of course benefit from this campaign as it becomes known among people who use and distribute the stickers what their source is. It was decided by the NF Directorate, however, that the absence of 'National Front' from the sticker will encourage great number of non-NF members to use it.

Anti-Market car stickers have been seen rarely in recent times, although the call for them is greater than ever. A sticker was printed by the Anti-Common Market League on yellow paper which said 'No to Common Market' but is not seen very much lately and anyway pre-dates British entry in its wording. The new sticker is completely up to date and is well designed. We feel it can make a great impact, and we urge everyone who is against the Common Market, whether NF members or not, to do all they can to obtain the widest possible circulation. The NF Chairman and *Spearhead* Editor has one on his car and strongly urges every member with a car to do likewise! Every carrier of the sticker, on his car should if possible carry a spare stock inside so that if anyone comments in a complimentary way on it he should use the occasion to persuade him to have one. Say "Here, I've got one — I'll stick it on for you!" Once it's on, the car owner may not want to bother to get it off!

The sticker is easily visible, while not being too big. It is adhesive on the printed side and should be stuck face on on the inside of the car window, back or front — or both!

Get to it! We do not want to see a road in the country on which there is not at least one car bearing this slogan. Let everyone see how the people feel about the Market! And do it now!

Prices: 1p each (+ 3p postage); £1 for 250.

KINGSLEY READ LEADS BLACKBURN RESIDENTS

Blackburn National Front Branch stepped right into the heart of grass-roots community politics last August immediately the Labour controlled Blackburn Council issued a shock announcement that it intended to tear down the homes of more than 4,000 families as part of a "slum clearance" programme.

This scheme produced an uproar of protests from thousands of Blackburnians — and not just those who are the intended victims of the scheme. The homes concerned in many cases are perfectly decent and adequate, and in other cases the property could be easily brought up to an adequate standard by means of renovations and improvements which would cost much less than tearing down all the houses and building entirely new properties.

It is well known, however, that the local Council is committed to vastly expensive and grandiose road building and development schemes — schemes over which speculators, property developers, contractors and money lenders have long been licking their lips. The people of Blackburn have a fine community spirit and do not want to be re-housed in high-rise rabbit warrens and soulless new estates built on valuable farming land.

The Blackburn people immediately

formed protest and action groups to resist the plan, and these groups quickly came together to establish a central liaison committee. At a packed public meeting called by the Residents' Liaison Committee on 23rd August, Mr. J. Kingsley Read, Chairman of Blackburn NF Branch and NF Prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Blackburn, was elected by acclaim to be the Committee's chief spokesman. The Committee is also supported by a number of local Conservative Councillors, and by one ex-Alderman of the Council who resigned from the Labour Party recently on account of Communist and International Socialist infiltration.

This decision had the result of Mr. Read being granted a series of long interviews on Radio Blackburn in which he explained the residents' case. This enraged the Labour Party and its extreme Left Wing allies, and at a further public meeting held on 28th August, the local leader of the International Socialists tried to cause trouble by shouting that Mr. Read "is a fascist". He was howled down and bundled out of the hall by the audience within a couple of minutes.

The Liaison Committee sought an interview with the leaders of the Labour majority on the Council, but they refused to meet any deputation which included Mr. Read. The residents replied saying that the Councillors' attitude was "dictatorial" and that they would not send any deputation to the Town Hall which did not include Mr. Read.

Following these developments Mr. Read and his Liaison Committee colleagues have organised a mass march of residents in the city. Letters have been sent to local M.P.'s in the area and to the Secretary of State for the Environment. Local press and radio reports make it clear that a mass movement of protest has sprung up, and that Blackburn Council have a real fight on their hands. It is also clear that the residents have adopted Mr. Read to be their spokesman because they know he is not involved in the Old Gang party machines.

West Bromwich Election Special

Readers will recall that in July we devoted the whole of our four middle pages to a feature of press reports of the spectacular performance of the National Front in the West Bromwich by-election on May 24th.

Extra copies of this special four-page feature are available for distribution to your friends and provide an excellent description of how seriously the NF is now being taken by the press. Copies 2p each (plus 2½p for postage); Quantities of 20–50 at 1½p each (plus 10p for postage); 50 or over at 1p each (plus postage charge of 1p per five copies).

Send your orders to: *Spearhead*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF.

Central Fund

The National Front has launched a Central Fund for the purpose of meeting its administrative expenses in the fighting of the next General Election, in which it aims to put up 50 candidates. This fund is not to be confused with local branch funds.

Branch funds will aim to raise the required sum for the fighting of their constituency campaigns, i.e. the placing of candidates' deposits, the printing of their election literature and other general expenses.

The Central Fund is to cover the expense of administering the whole election campaign from headquarters and will include the extension of full time secretarial and organisational staff as its main item.

The Central Fund has been set a target of £10,000 a year for the next two years, starting from the 1st June 1973.

Contributions and pledges for the first year, 1974-74, have so far totalled £3,342.54p.

We urge all supporters of the National Front to give generously so that we may raise the desired figure or at least get a substantial part of the way towards it. Contributions should be addressed to the National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, CRO 2QF. Cheques or postal orders should be made out to National Front, No. 2 account.

NF

puts
Britain
First

The National Front is Britain's fastest-growing party which says: "Put Britain and the British people first!". It is the true voice of the British people. Its main policies have been proved by one opinion poll after another to represent the views of the great majority of the British people. Find out more about the National Front by completing this form and sending it to: *The Secretary, National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF, Surrey. (Tel. 01-684 3730)*

Name

Address

.....
.....

The National Front needs money. It needs the funds to print leaflets, pamphlets and posters, to fight elections, to mount demonstrations, to organise the biggest patriotic movement in Britain.

So invest in your country's future. Send a donation to the National Front Fighting Fund today. It will be money well spent.

CONTACT YOUR LOCAL N.F. UNIT

On this page is an up to date list of active units of the National Front all over Britain. Those in capital letters are registered branches; the remainder are groups.

GREATER LONDON

ENFIELD
Mr. K. Robinson, 51 Westerham Ave., London N.9.
HARINGEY & ISLINGTON
Mr. H. Lord, 134 Middle Lane, London N.8.
CAMDEN & BRENT
Mr. B. Wyndham, c/o National H.Q., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.
HARROW & HILLINGDON
Mr. R. Franklin, 17 Hutton Lane, Harrow, Middx.
Hayes
Mr. J. Fairhurst, 62 Longmead Road, Hayes, Middx.
HOUNSLOW
Mr. A. Nile, 75 Spring Grove Rd., Hounslow, Middx.
Staines
Mr. E. Butterfield, White House, Kingston Road, Ashford, Middx.
Chelsea & Fulham
Mr. F. J. Maddams, 14a Barclay Rd., Fulham, London S.W.6.
RICHMOND & KINGSTON
Mr. E. Russell, 84 Fulwell Rd., Teddington, Middx.
WANDSWORTH
Mr. T. Lamb, 45 Alfriston Rd., London S.W.11.
Croydon
Mr. P. Smith, 89 Croham Valley, South Croydon, Surrey.
LAMBETH
Mr. B. Abbott, 31 Drewstead Rd., London S.W.16.
LEWISHAM & SOUTHWARK
Mr. E. Arthurton, 63 Chudleigh Rd., London S.E.4.
GREENWICH
Mr. I. Steven, 215 Eastcombe Ave., Charlton, London S.E.7.
BROMLEY
Mr. G. J. Parker, 53 Victoria Rd., Bromley, Kent.
Bexley
Mr. J. Turner, 31 Townley Rd., Bexley Heath, Kent.
Tower Hamlets
Mr. J. Jones, 20 Smetheton House, Tudor Rd., London E.9.
Newham
Mr. R. W. Hill, 321 Halley Rd., Manor Park, E.12.
Barking
Mr. G. Gudge, Pavilion Flat, Longbridge Rd., Barking Park, Essex..
Redbridge
Mr. R. Webb, 51 Wellesley Rd., Ilford, Essex.

S. E. COUNTIES

Ashford (Kent)
Mr. K. McKilliam, Green Hedges, Boughton Aluph, Ashford, Kent.
CANTERBURY & DOVER
Mr. D. W. Smith, 20 Magdala Rd., Dover, Kent.
DARTFORD
Mr. K. R. Follett, 38 Shearwater, New Barn, Longfield, Kent.
Tunbridge Wells
Mr. M. Stampfer, 30 Orchard Rise, Groombridge, Sussex.
BRIGHTON
Mr. E. Budden, 82 Tamworth Rd., Hove 3, Sussex.
Worthing
Mr. O. C. Gilbert, Flat 1, Quinta Carmen, West Parade, Worthing, Sussex.
Hastings
Mr. C. A. Mitchell, 26 Castle Drive, Pevensey Bay, Sussex.
Crawley
Mr. H. W. Hill, 178 Rother Cres., Gossops Green, Crawley, Surrey.
Redhill & Reigate
Mr. J. Millican, c/o National H.Q., 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

W. Surrey
Mr. M. Vaux, c/o National H.Q., 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.
BOURNEMOUTH
Mr. M. T. Hayes, 80 Cardigan Rd., Winton, Bournemouth, Hants.
Southampton
Mr. P. R. K. Wateridge, 21 Ascupart House, Portswood Rd., Southampton, SO2 1US.
Portsmouth
Mr. J. F. Watts, 2 Madden Close, Alverstoke, Gosport, Hants.
Andover
Mr. P. Cruse, 130 Salisbury Rd., Andover, Hants.
Basingstoke
Mr. K. Barnes, 26 Madeira Close, Popley, Basingstoke, Hants.
Chelmsford
Mrs. A. Joyce, 253 Springfield Rd., Chelmsford, Essex.
Colchester
Mr. E. H. Sherer, 1 Broadview Cottages, Cockaynes Lane, Alresford, Nr. Colchester, Essex.
Southend
Mr. E. H. Jones, 34 Lansdown Ave., Leigh-on-Sea, Essex.
Beaconsfield
Mr. A. Coniam, North House, Penn Rd., Knotty Green, Beaconsfield, Bucks.
Slough
Mr. J. Noyes, 58 Dutton Way, Iver, Bucks.
Reading
Mr. R. C. Fulker, 148 Cholmerley Rd., Reading, Berks.
Bedford
Mr. D. J. Ager, 53 Spencer Road, Bedford.
S. HERTS
Mr. H. B. Clark, 78 Brookside, South Mimms, Potters Bar, Herts.
Ware (Herts)
Mr. R. Barcock, 76 Hazeldell, Watton at Stone, Herts
Watford
Mr. J. B. Lear, 126 Balmoral Rd., Watford, Herts.
N. Herts
Mr. J. E. Wotherspoon, The Waldens, Pondsides, Graveley, Stevenage, Herts.
Welwyn & Hatfield
Mr. D. Johnson, 98 Brookfield, Welwyn Garden City, Herts.

W. ENGLAND & WALES

BRISTOL
Mr. P. Gannaway, 178a Hanham Rd., Kingswood, Bristol
Oxford
Mr. I. H. M. Anderson, 76 Hill Rd., Watlington, Oxon.
N. Oxon
Mr. A. G. Blake, 'Janade', 8 Strawberry Hill, Bloxham, Banbury, Oxon.
Bath
Mr. P. I. Flannery, c/o National H.Q., 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.
Marlborough
Mr. R. A. Manley, 28 George Lane, Marlborough, Wilts.
Cheltenham
Mr. R. D. Molesworth, c/o National HQ, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.
Dorchester
Mr. J. Swabey, 27 Dorchester Rd., Stratton, Dorchester, Dorset.
Cardiff & Barry
Miss V. Wilcox, 19 Kimberley Rd., Penylan, Cardiff.

E. ANGLIA

NORWICH

Mr. W. Fitt, 253 Robin Hood Rd., Tuckswood, Norwich, Norfolk.

MIDLANDS

BIRMINGHAM

Mr. J. E. May, 12 Stanley Rd., Kings Heath, B'ghm.

WEST BROMWICH

Mr. C. L. Allsopp, 81 Hartland Rd., West Bromwich, Staffs.

WOLVERHAMPTON

Mr. G. Cooper, The Grange, The Wergs, Tettenhall, Staffs.

Darlaston & Walsall

Mr. M. Garrington, 22 King St., Darlaston, Staffs.

Coventry

Mr. J. Harrison, 27 Forfield Rd., Lorndon, Coventry.

NOTTINGHAM

Mr. A. Vernon, 1 Edale Close, Long Eaton, Notts.

LEICESTER

Mr. R. Silverwood, 23 Barbarba Rd., Leicester.

Northampton

Mr. C. Bissington, 15 Queen Eleanor Terrace, Far Cotton, Northampton.

N. ENGLAND

MANCHESTER

Mr. J. Whitworth, 166 Brailsford Rd., Manchester 14 Oldham

Mr. F. Durkin, c/o National HQ, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

Stockport

Mr. K. S. Walker, c/o National HQ, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

BOLTON & BURY

Mr. J. Parr, c/o National HQ, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

Rochdale

Mr. P. McGrath, 269 Ashfield Rd., Deepdish, Rochdale, Lancs.

LIVERPOOL

Mr. G. J. Marshall, P.O. Box No. 52, Whitechapel, Liverpool L69 1JS.

BLACKBURN

Mr. J. Kingsley Read, 180 Queens Rd., Blackburn, Lancs.

Accrington

Mr. K. I. Dobson, 16 Gloucester Ave., Accrington, Nr. Blackburn, Lancs.

HARROGATE

Mr. A. H. W. Brons, 42 Byland Rd., Harrogate, Yorks.

HUDDERSFIELD

Mrs. R. Buckley, 127 Longhill Rd., Sheepbridge, Huddersfield, Yorks.

Leeds

Mr. E. Morrison, 36 Richardson Rd., Leeds 9, Yorks.

Bradford

Mr. D. Thackery, 34 Willow Ave., Five Lane Ends, Bradford 2, Yorks.

Sheffield

Mr. P. J. Revell, 2 The Crescent, Bradwell, Nr. Sheffield, Yorks.

Hull

Mr. D. Hicks, 21 Ferry Lane, Woodmansey, Beverley, Yorks.

Tyneside

Mr. G. Stridiron, 53 Greencroft Towers, Balmoral Drive, Gateshead, Co. Durham.

Durham

Mr. E. H. Walker, 21 Hallgarth Street, Durham DH1 3AT.

SCOTLAND

Glasgow

Mr. W. J. Wells, 52 Howard St., Glasgow.

N. IRELAND

Belfast

Mr. W. Annett, c/o National H.Q., 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.